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## ABSTRACT

The amylose content in the recombinant inbred lines (RILs) ranged from low (18.15\%) to high $(22.80 \%)$, whereas in parents the percentage of amylose was recorded as $20.29 \%$ for Basmati 370 and $19.00 \%$ for Pusa Basmati 1. A minimum value of 15.10 g and a maximum value of 28.40 g has been observed for the $1000-$ grain weight in the RIL population. In the parents Basmati 370 and Pusa Basmati 1, the 1000 - grain weight was recorded as 23.24 g and 22.40 g respectively. Analysis of variance was found to be highly significant for both amylose content and 1000- grain weight. Amylose content showed a positive ( $r=0.067$ ) but no significant correlation with 1000-grain weight. The study suggested the recombinant inbred lines can be used as efficient genetic resources for carrying out breeding programmes and 1000- grain weight and amylose content are important traits which should be used as selection criteria to develop high yielding and better quality varieties in Basmati rice.
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block design (RCBD) with three replications. The material was transplanted in 5 meter row length with planting density of 15 $\times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$. Recommended package practices were followed for the production of crop. The grains were harvested upon maturity. The observations for 1000- thousand grain weight of the paddy samples were recorded. The samples were then dehusked and all the plants were evaluated individually for the AC.


## Estimation of amylose Content

Amylose content in the parents and the recombinant inbred lines was based on the iodine- binding procedure as described by Juliano (1971). In brief, 100 mg of the powered sample was mixed with 1 ml of distilled ethanol and 10 ml of 1 N NaOH . The mixture was left overnight. After making up the volume to 100 ml in a volumetric flask, approximately 2.5 ml of the extract was taken out and diluted with 20 ml water. 2 to 3 drops of phenolphthalein indicator were added till the appearance of light pink colour. The sample was titrated using 0.1 N HCl till the pink colour disappeared. Addition of iodine reagent produced a blue- coloured complex and the absorbance was read at 590 nm using UV visible spectrophotometer (UV-1601, Shimadzu, Japan). Amylose content in the samples was determined based on the standard curve prepared using potato amylose (A0512, Sigma Aldrich Co.).

## 1000-grain weight

The weight of 1000 grains from each genotype was recorded in grams per 1000 grains as per the procedure given in the

Standard Evaluation System for Rice, IRRI, 2002. 1000 well developed grains from each sample were counted randomly; moisture content was dried and weighed using a precision balance.

## Statistical analysis

All the analysis was carried out in triplicates. For determining the statistical significance of the data, Analysis of variance (one way- ANOVA) was performed for AC and 1000- Grain weight. The mean values were separated by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) as suggested by Steel and Torrie (1960). Variance of AC and 1000-Grain weight was determined using paired- t test. The Pearson's Correlation Coefficients (r) was calculated using SPSS (version 20.0).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Evaluation of RIL population for amylose content and 1000grain weight

The parents (B370 and PB1) along with the transgressive lines obtained from them were evaluated for Amylose content and 1000- Grain weight. Table 1 shows the mean values of 1000 GW and AC recorded for the parents B370 $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right), \mathrm{PB} 1\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$ and RILs.
AC of rice is the key factor in determining its cooking and eating qualities (Amarawathi et al., 2008 and Ge et al., 2008). On the basis of the amount of amylose present, the milled rice has been classified into four categories: waxy (0-

Table 1: Mean $\pm$ SE and range of amylose content (\%) and 1000-grain weight (g) in parents and the recombinant inbred lines (RILs)

|  | Basmati370 | Pusa Basmati1 |  | RIL Population |  | Maximum |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Mean $\pm$ SE | Mean $\pm$ SE | Mean $\pm$ SE | Minimum | Range |  |
| Amylose content | $20.29 \pm 0.058$ | $19.00 \pm 0.058$ | $20.25 \pm 0.048$ | 22.80 | 18.15 | $18.15-22.80$ |
| 1000- Grain weight | $23.24 \pm 0.058$ | $22.40 \pm 0.404$ | $22.33 \pm 0.082$ | 28.40 | 15.10 | $15.10-28.40$ |

Table 2: Analysis of variance for amylose content and 1000-grain weight in the recombinant inbred lines

| Source of Variation | df | Mean Sum Square <br> Amylose Content | 1000- Grain Weight |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Genotype | 139 | $2.508^{* *}$ | $24.777^{* *}$ |
| Replication | 2 | $23.739^{* *}$ | $33.028^{* *}$ |
| Error | 278 | 0.0602 | 0.0658 |
| $* *$ Significant at $\%$ level of significance |  |  |  |



Figure 1: Amylose content (\%) of the evaluated recombinant inbred lines


Figure 2: 1000-Grain weight (g) of the evaluated recombinant inbred lines

Table 3: Variation among Amylose content (AC) and 1000-grain weight (GW) in the parents and the recombinant inbred lines of Basmati $370 \times$ Pusa Basmati1

| Genotype | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \pm \mathrm{SD}^{*} \\ & \mathrm{AC} \end{aligned}$ | 1000-GW | t-value/df AC/1000- GW | p - value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1 | $20.290 \pm 0.100^{6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19}$ | $19.000 \pm 0.100^{3,4}$ | 22.343/2 | 0.002 |
| P2 | $23.240 \pm 0.100^{30}$ | $22.400 \pm 0.700^{19,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30}$ | 2.425/2 | 0.136 |
| RIL-01 | $19.100 \pm 0.400^{1,2,3}$ | $20.000 \pm 0.400^{4,5,6,7,8,9}$ | -15.588/2 | 0.004 |
| RIL-02 | $20.500 \pm 0.300^{8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21}$ | $19.600 \pm 0.500^{3,4,5,6}$ | 7.794/2 | 0.016 |
| RIL03 | $19.500 \pm 0.200^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7}$ | $19.100 \pm 0.600^{3,4}$ | 1.732/2 | 0.225 |
| RIL-04 | $19.300 \pm 0.200^{1,2,3,4,5,6}$ | $22.500 \pm 0.700^{20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31}$ | -11.085/2 | 0.008 |
| RIL-05 | $21.950 \pm 0.600^{29}$ | $20.500 \pm 0.800^{4,5,6,7,8,9}$ | 16.887/2 | 0.003 |
| RIL-06 | $20.650 \pm 0.100^{10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23}$ | $23.100 \pm 0.900^{26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37}$ | -5.304 | 0.034 |
| RIL-07 | $18.780 \pm 0.460^{1}$ | $16.900 \pm 0.100^{2}$ | 8.616/2 | 0.013 |
| RIL-08 | $19.750 \pm 0.700^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11}$ | $23.400 \pm 0.200^{29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40}$ | -12.644/2 | 0.006 |
| RIL-09 | $21.900 \pm 0.900^{28,29}$ | $20.800 \pm 0.300^{8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15}$ | 3.175/2 | 0.086 |
| RIL-10 | $21.600 \pm 0.300^{23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | $19.300 \pm 0.400^{3,4,5}$ | 39.837/2 | 0.001 |
| RIL-11 | $20.650 \pm 0.500^{10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23}$ | $22.500 \pm 0.450^{20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31}$ | -64.086/2 | 0.000 |
| RIL-12 | $20.500 \pm 0.300^{8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21}$ | $21.600 \pm 0.550^{13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22}$ | -7.621/2 | 0.017 |
| RIL-13 | $21.950 \pm 0.800^{29}$ | $21.400 \pm 0.650^{11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20}$ | 6.351/2 | 0.024 |
| RIL-14 | $19.450 \pm 0.400^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7}$ | $19.700 \pm 0.750^{3,4,5,6,7}$ | -1.237/2 | 0.342 |
| RIL-15 | $19.500 \pm 0.500^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7}$ | $21.300 \pm 0.850^{10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19}$ | -8.908/2 | 0.012 |
| RIL-16 | $19.350 \pm 0.200^{1,2,3,4,5,6}$ | $21.000 \pm 0.100^{9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16}$ | -28.579/2 | 0.001 |
| RIL-17 | $21.250 \pm 0.100^{19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | $22.000 \pm 0.150^{16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26}$ | -25.981/2 | 0.001 |
| RIL-18 | $20.650 \pm 0.700^{10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23}$ | $24.100 \pm 0.300^{36,37,38,39.40,41.42,43}$ | -14.939/2 | 0.004 |
| RIL-19 | $20.600 \pm 0.400^{9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22}$ | $25.000 \pm 0.350^{43}$ | -152.420/2 | 0.000 |
| RIL-20 | $19.800 \pm 0.700^{2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12}$ | $21.600 \pm 0.450^{13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22}$ | -12.471/2 | 0.006 |
| RIL-21 | $21.100 \pm 0.900^{17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | $22.300 \pm 0.550^{18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | -5.938/2 | 0.027 |
| RIL-22 | $19.900 \pm 0.800^{2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14}$ | $22.300 \pm 0.650^{18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | -27.713/2 | 0.001 |
| RIL-23 | $19.100 \pm 0.300^{1,2,3}$ | $24.100 \pm 0.750^{36,37,38,39.40,41.42,43}$ | -19.245/2 | 0.003 |
| RIL-24 | $20.900 \pm 0.200^{15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27}$ | $20.600 \pm 0.850^{6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13}$ | 0.799/2 | 0.508 |
| RIL-25 | $19.500 \pm 0.300^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7}$ | $22.100 \pm 0.100^{16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27}$ | -22.517/2 | 0.002 |
| RIL-26 | $19.450 \pm 0.200^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7}$ | $18.900 \pm 0.200^{3}$ | 9.526/2 | 0.011 |
| RIL-27 | $19.000 \pm 0.300^{1,2}$ | $23.500 \pm 0.250^{30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41}$ | -155.885/2 | 0.000 |
| RIL-28 | $19.350 \pm 0.200^{1,2,3,4,5,6}$ | $20.400 \pm 0.400^{6,7,8,9,10,11}$ | -9.093/2 | 0.012 |
| RIL-29 | $19.950 \pm 0.600^{2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15}$ | $22.200 \pm 0.450^{17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28}$ | -25.981/2 | 0.001 |
| RIL-30 | $19.550 \pm 0.400^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8}$ | $18.900 \pm 0.600^{3}$ | 5.629/2 | 0.030 |
| RIL-31 | $21.300 \pm 0.300^{20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | $21.800 \pm 0.650^{14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24}$ | -2.474/2 | 0.132 |
| RIL-32 | $20.400 \pm 0.200^{7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20}$ | $21.200 \pm 0.800^{10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18}$ | -2.309/2 | 0.147 |
| RIL-33 | $19.750 \pm 0.700^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11}$ | $24.200 \pm 0.850^{37,38,39.40,41.42,43}$ | -51.384/2 | 0.000 |
| RIL-34 | $19.600 \pm 0.500^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8}$ | $22.600 \pm 0.500^{21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32}$ | -51.962/2 | 0.000 |
| RIL-35 | $21.400 \pm 0.400^{21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | $22.600 \pm 0.150^{21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32}$ | -8.314/2 | 0.014 |
| RIL-36 | $21.900 \pm 0.800^{28,29}$ | $22.800 \pm 0.250^{23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34}$ | -2.834/2 | 0.105 |
| RIL-37 | $19.050 \pm 0.900^{1,2}$ | $23.600 \pm 0.350^{31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41}$ | -14.329/2 | 0.005 |
| RIL-38 | $19.100 \pm 0.100^{1,2,3}$ | $19.800 \pm 0.500^{3,4,5,6,7,8}$ | -3.031/2 | 0.094 |
| RIL-39 | $19.800 \pm 0.800^{2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12}$ | $23.200 \pm 0.550{ }^{27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38}$ | -23.556/2 | 0.002 |
| RIL-40 | $21.450 \pm 0.400^{21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | $22.300 \pm 0.700^{18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | -4.907/2 | 0.039 |
| RIL-41 | $19.400 \pm 0.100^{1,2,3,4,5,6}$ | $24.300 \pm 0.800^{38,39.40,41.42,43}$ | -12.124/2 | 0.007 |
| RIL-42 | $19.950 \pm 0.300^{2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15}$ | $20.800 \pm 0.900^{8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15}$ | -2.454/2 | 0.134 |
| RIL-43 | $19.300 \pm 0.100^{1,2,3,4,5,6}$ | $23.700 \pm 0.100^{32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41}$ | -65.818/2 | 0.000 |
| RIL-44 | $20.950 \pm 0.800^{16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28}$ | $23.100 \pm 0.200^{26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37}$ | -6.804/2 | 0.021 |
| RIL-45 | $19.190 \pm 0.100^{1,2,3}$ | $21.900 \pm 0.250^{15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25}$ | -31.292/2 | 0.001 |
| RIL-46 | $19.500 \pm 0.300^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7}$ | $23.400 \pm 0.350^{29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40}$ | -135.100/2 | 0.000 |
| RIL-47 | $21.250 \pm 0.200^{19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | $23.400 \pm 0.500^{29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40}$ | -12.413/2 | 0.006 |
| RIL-48 | $20.100 \pm 0.800^{3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16}$ | $20.800 \pm 0.550^{8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15}$ | -4.850/2 | 0.040 |
| RIL-49 | $20.800 \pm 0.600^{13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26}$ | $24.000 \pm 0.650^{35,36,37,38,39,40,41,42,43}$ | -110.851/2 | 0.000 |
| RIL-50 | $21.350 \pm 0.300^{20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | $21.900 \pm 0.800^{15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25}$ | -1.905/2 | 0.197 |
| RIL-51 | $19.650 \pm 0.590^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9}$ | $23.700 \pm 0.850^{32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41}$ | -20.042/2 | 0.002 |
| RIL-52 | $20.400 \pm 0.200^{7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20}$ | $22.200 \pm 0.100^{17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28}$ | -31.177/2 | 0.001 |
| RIL-53 | $20.650 \pm 0.600^{10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23}$ | $24.600 \pm 0.150^{41.42,43}$ | -15.205/2 | 0.004 |

$2 \%$ amylose, dry basis), low (10-20\%), intermediate (20-25\%) and high (>25) (Yadav et al., 2007). Amylose content for the parents B370 and PB1 was found to be $20.29 \%$ and $19.00 \%$. Both the parents seemed to possess intermediate levels of amylose while the RIL's had both low and intermediate
amylose contents (Fig.1). Basmati rice with intermediate amylose content ( 20 to $25 \%$ ) is preferred for consumption as it remains moist and soft as compared to the rice with high or low amylose contents (Thomas et al., 2013). A similar range of amylose content ( $14-25 \%$ ) was observed in the rice varieties

Table 3: Cont.....
RIL-54 $20.750+0.500^{12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,2,2,24,25}$
RIL-55 $\quad 19.500 \pm 0.400^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7}$
RIL-56 $20.100+0.100^{3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16}$
RIL-57 $\quad 19.050 \pm 0.700^{1,2}$
RIL-58 $\quad 19.500 \pm 0.400^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7}$
RIL-59 $\quad 19.516 \pm 0.208^{3,4,5,6,7,8}$
RIL-60 $20.800 \pm 0.800^{13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26}$
RIL-61 $20.850 \pm 0.600^{14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26}$
RIL-62 $\quad 19.200 \pm 0.100^{1,2,3,4}$
RIL-63 $\quad 19.550 \pm 0.200^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8}$
RIL-64 $\quad 19.100 \pm 0.500^{1,2,3}$
RIL-65 $\quad 19.800 \pm 0.800^{2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12}$
RIL-66 $\quad 19.100 \pm 0.200^{1,2,3}$
RIL-67 $21.450 \pm 0.200^{21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$
RIL-68 $21.000 \pm 0.100^{16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$
RIL-69 $21.000 \pm 0.400^{16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$
RIL-70 $20.800 \pm 0.800^{13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26}$
RIL-71 $\quad 19.650 \pm 0.300^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9}$
RIL-72 $20.250 \pm 0.200^{6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18}$
RIL-73 $21.450 \pm 0.100^{21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$
RIL-74 $20.850 \pm 0.600^{14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26}$
RIL-75 $\quad 19.250 \pm 0.200^{1,2,3,4,5}$
RIL-76 $\quad 19.250 \pm 0.100^{1,2,3,4,5}$
RIL-77 $20.190 \pm 0.300^{4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17}$
RIL-78 $21.000 \pm 0.300^{16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$
RIL-79 $21.850 \pm 0.500^{27,28,29}$
RIL-80 $\quad 19.050 \pm 0.300^{1,2}$
RIL-81 $20.700 \pm 0.500^{11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24}$
RIL-82 $\quad 19.100 \pm 0.100^{1,2,3}$
RIL-83 $20.950 \pm 0.200^{16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28}$
RIL-84 $\quad 19.500 \pm 0.200^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7}$
RIL-85 $21.550 \pm 0.300^{22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$
RIL-86 $\quad 19.800 \pm 0.200^{2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12}$
RIL-87 $\quad 19.100 \pm 0.400^{1,2,3}$
RIL-88 $20.200 \pm 0.200^{5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17}$
RIL-89 $20.617 \pm 0.551^{9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23}$
RIL-90 $\quad 19.190 \pm 0.100^{1,2,3}$
RIL-91 $21.250 \pm 0.100^{19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$
RIL-92 $\quad 19.250 \pm 0.200^{1,2,3,4,5}$
RIL-93 $20.200 \pm 0.800^{5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17}$
RIL-94 $19.800 \pm 0.600^{2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12}$
RIL-95 $\quad 19.550 \pm 0.500^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8}$
RIL-96 $\quad 19.750 \pm 0.600^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11}$
RIL-97 $21.300 \pm 0.300^{20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$
RIL-98 $20.950 \pm 0.200^{16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28}$
RIL-99 $\quad 19.800 \pm 0.700^{2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12}$
RIL-100 $\quad 19.300 \pm 0.300^{1,2,3,4,5,6}$
RIL-101 $21.350 \pm 0.100^{20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$
RIL-102 $\quad 19.700 \pm 0.200^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10}$
RIL-103 $\quad 21.550 \pm 0.400^{22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$
RIL-104 $\quad 19.750 \pm 0.700^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11}$
RIL-105 $21.000 \pm 0.400^{16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$
RIL-106 $\quad 19.850 \pm 0.200^{2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13}$
RIL-107 $\quad 19.250 \pm 0.100^{1,2,3,4,5}$
RIL-108 $\quad 19.650 \pm 0.500^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9}$
RIL-109 $21.450 \pm 0.100^{21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$
RIL-110 $\quad 19.400 \pm 0.200^{1,2,3,4,5,6}$
RIL-111 $21.350 \pm 0.200^{20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$
RIL-112 $\quad 19.350 \pm 0.300^{1,2,3,4,5,6}$
from Goa (Bhonsle and Sellappan, 2010). The range of the amylose observed in this study is confirmed by the earlier findings of Dipti et al., (2003) and Bultosa, (2007) who reported that the AC in different rice varieties range from 18.60 to $28.0 \%$ and 20.0 to $25.8 \%$ respectively. Verma et al., (2015) also
reported intermediate amylose content (approximately 20\%) in both Basmati 370 and Pusa Basmati 1. Furthermore, it has been reported that the progenies derived from parents with intermediate AC have low AC, whereas the progenies with intermediate AC have at least one of the parents with

Table 3: Cont......

| RIL-113 | $19.450 \pm 0.200^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7}$ | $24.200 \pm 0.800^{37,38,39,40,41,42,43}$ | -13.712/2 | 0.005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RIL-114 | $19.100 \pm 0.700^{1,2,3}$ | $22.400 \pm 0.450^{19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30}$ | -22.863/2 | 0.002 |
| RIL-115 | $21.750 \pm 0.600^{26,27,28,29}$ | $22.600 \pm 0.550^{21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32}$ | -29.445/2 | 0.001 |
| RIL-116 | $19.100 \pm 0.700^{1,2,3}$ | $24.000 \pm 0.200^{35,36,37,38,39,40,41,42,43}$ | -12.124/2 | 0.007 |
| RIL-117 | $21.000 \pm 0.600^{16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | $23.000 \pm 0.300^{25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36}$ | -11.547/2 | 0.007 |
| RIL-118 | $19.900 \pm 0.700^{2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14}$ | $22.700 \pm 0.400{ }^{23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33}$ | -16.166/2 | 0.004 |
| RIL-119 | $21.050 \pm 0.800^{16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | $21.600 \pm 0.500^{13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22}$ | -3.175/2 | 0.086 |
| RIL-120 | $19.550 \pm 0.500^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,7}$ | $23.800 \pm 0.600^{33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41}$ | -73.612/2 | 0.000 |
| RIL-121 | $21.450 \pm 0.100^{21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | $21.800 \pm 0.700^{14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24}$ | -1.010/2 | 0.419 |
| RIL-122 | $21.550 \pm 0.300^{22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | $21.600 \pm 0.800^{13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22}$ | -0.173/2 | 0.878 |
| RIL-123 | $19.900 \pm 0.800^{2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14}$ | $22.100 \pm 0.450^{16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27}$ | -10.887/2 | 0.008 |
| RIL-124 | $21.900 \pm 0.900^{28,29}$ | $21.900 \pm 0.550^{15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25}$ | 0.000/2 | 1.000 |
| RIL-125 | $21.000 \pm 0.300^{16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | $21.800 \pm 0.200^{14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24}$ | -13.856/2 | 0.005 |
| RIL-126 | $19.350 \pm 0.200^{1,2,3,4,5,6}$ | $21.100 \pm 0.300^{10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17}$ | -30.311/2 | 0.001 |
| RIL-127 | $21.200 \pm 0.600^{18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | $21.500 \pm 0.400^{12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21}$ | -2.598/2 | 0.122 |
| RIL-128 | $19.190 \pm 0.800^{1,2,3}$ | $22.300 \pm 0.500^{18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | -17.956/2 | 0.003 |
| RIL-129 | $21.700 \pm 0.700^{25,26,27,28,29}$ | $22.200 \pm 0.600^{17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28}$ | -8.660/2 | 0.013 |
| RIL-130 | $19.000 \pm 0.200^{1,2}$ | $21.300 \pm 0.700^{10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19}$ | -7.967/2 | 0.015 |
| RIL-131 | $21.100 \pm 0.400^{17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | $22.300 \pm 0.800^{18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | -5.196/2 | 0.035 |
| RIL-132 | $21.200 \pm 0.800^{18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | $21.800 \pm 0.450^{14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24}$ | -2.969/2 | 0.097 |
| RIL-133 | $20.700 \pm 0.500^{11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24}$ | $22.500 \pm 0.550^{20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31}$ | -62.354/2 | 0.000 |
| RIL-134 | $19.750 \pm 0.200^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11}$ | $15.400 \pm 0.200^{1}$ | 75.344/2 | 0.000 |
| RIL-135 | $21.450 \pm 0.100^{21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | $21.400 \pm 0.300^{11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20}$ | 0.433/2 | 0.707 |
| RIL-136 | $19.700 \pm 0.600^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10}$ | $23.300 \pm 0.400^{28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39}$ | -31.177/2 | 0.001 |
| RIL-137 | $21.100 \pm 0.600^{17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | $23.000 \pm 0.500^{25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36}$ | -32.909/2 | 0.001 |
| RIL-138 | $21.850 \pm 0.800^{27,28,29}$ | $23.500 \pm 0.600^{30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41}$ | -14.289/2 | 0.005 |
| RIL-139 | $19.330 \pm 0.300^{1,2,3,4,5,6}$ | $20.300 \pm 0.700^{5,6,7,8,9,10}$ | -4.200/2 | 0.052 |
| RIL-140 | $21.650 \pm 0.200^{24,25,26,27,28,29}$ | $27.600 \pm 0.800^{44}$ | -17.176/2 | 0.003 |

Note: *Mean $\pm$ SD values with different superscripts between the genotypes within the same column are significantly different from each other (DUNCAN post-hoc; $P<0.05$ ); P1: Basmati 370; P2: Pusa Basmati1
intermediate AC, indicating that AC has a complex mode of inheritance (Sartaj and Abeysekera, 2001).
Grain yield in rice is a multifactorial trait governed by a number of traits and 1000 GW is the most important among them. 1000GW for the parents B370 and PB1 was found to be 23.24 g and 22.40 g respectively while in RILs 1000GW ranged from $15.10 \mathrm{~g}-28.40 \mathrm{~g}$. Verma et al., (2015) observed the test weight (in grams) for Basmati 370 and Pusa Basmati 1 as 21.57 g and 22.13 g respectively. The range of the 1000 GW obtained in this study (Fig. 2) is in accordance with that obtained in the previous studies (Yadav et al., 2007; Thomas et al., 2013; Srivastava and Jaiswal, 2013 and Nirmaladevi et al., 2015). Ahmed et al., (2015) observed a similar range of 1000- grain weight (16.52-30.02g) in the Kartiksail rice (Oryza sativa L.) land races of Bangladesh.
The genotypes with intermediate AC and higher 1000GW are of special significance in the local breeding programmes for improving the quantity and quality of the rice crop (Fasahat et al., 2012)

## Variation in amylose content and 1000 -grain weight among the genotypes and within the genotypes

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) (Table2) revealed significant differences among the genotypes indicating the existence of sufficient amount of variability whereas among the genotypes, the comparison of AC and 1000 GW within the genotypes indicated that these traits are significantly different except for few genotypes (Table3). Nascimento et al., (2011) while studying 146 accessions of upland rice for 14 quantitative traits also found significance differences. Fukuoka et al. (2006)
studied aromatic rice land races also observed significant variation among aromatic rice land races for quantitative traits. Similar results were also reported by Dhanwani et al. (2013); Dhurai et al. (2014) and Ahmed et al. (2015).

## Correlation among amylose content and 1000-grain weight

Positive non- significant correlation was found between AC and 1000GW ( $r=0.067$; $p<0.05$ ) indicating that any improvement in the amylose content will have a positive effect on the 1000GW and vice-versa; as such there are many environmental factors like field location and temperature which govern the amount of amylose and 1000GW. In an earlier study on recombinant inbred lines of basmati derivative and Pusa Basmati1, AC and 1000GW showed positive but nonsignificant correlation ( $\mathrm{r}=0.065$; $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) (Yumnam et al., 2015). Allam et al. (2015) also reported a positive but nonsignificant correlation ( $r=0.200 ; p<0.05$ ) between test weight and amylose content. Hence, it can be interpreted that genetic improvement of these characters through selection would be helpful in improving the grain yield and quality in rice.
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